

REMARKS

The specification has been reviewed, and clerical errors of the specification have been amended.

In paragraph 2 of the Action, claims 1-10 were objected to. In view of the objections, claims have been amended.

In paragraph 4 of the Action, claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kumai. In paragraph 5 of the Action, claims 5-10 were allowed.

In view of the rejection, claim 1 has been amended, and new claims 11 and 12 have been filed.

Namely, claim 1 has been amended such that the two planar portions extend to the frame from at least two positions of the imaging device at opposite sides relative to an axis of the support diagonally away from each other.

In Kumai cited in the Action, camera devices 1a, 1b are attached to a shaft 7 to be tilted up and down, and path cords 9a, 9b extend downwardly from lower sides of the camera devices 1a, 1b. Therefore, the path codes 9a, 9b extend downwardly from the lower sides of the camera devices 1a, 1b relative to an axis of the shaft 7.

In claim 1 now clearly amended, the two planar portions of the flexible connector extend from two positions of the imaging device at opposite sides relative to the axis of the support diagonally away from each other. The path codes 9a, 9b in Kumai are not attached to the camera devices 1a, 1b at opposite sides relative to the axis of the shaft 7 diagonally away from each other. The path codes 9a, 9b are attached to the lower sides of the camera device, and the features as now clearly defined in claim 1 are not disclosed in Kumai.

Therefore, claim 1 of the invention is not anticipated by Kumai.

Reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully Submitted,

By 
Manabu Kanesaka
Reg. No. 31,467
Agent for Applicants

1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310
Alexandria, VA 22314
(703) 519-9785